X-Sender: bnelson@pop.dnai.com

Date: Wed, 1 Jul 1998 17:54:16 -0700

To: lsnow@water.ca.gov

From: bnelson@savesfbay.org (savebay)

Subject: Section Omitted from DEIS Comments

Dear Lester:

I just learned that the following section was inadvertently omitted during the production of our comments on the draft EIS/R. Can you ask your staff to insert this section in our comments. I will mail a hard copy.

Thank you.

Barry Nelson

LONG TERM MANAGEMENT STRATEGY LINKAGES

* The DEIS/R Fails to Evaluate the Possible Linkages Between the CALFED Program and the Long Term Management Strategy Regarding Dredging

in San Francisco Bay.

The Sonoma Bayland program has demonstrated potential ecosystem benefits

from beneficial reuse of clean dredged materials. Such beneficial reuse

opportunities are currently being investigated regarding Hamilton Field.

However, neither CALFED nor the LTMS have adequately evaluated the

potential use of clean dredged materials in the Delta for habitat restoration or levee maintenance.

The Levee Protection Plan document states that it "assumes that local

borrow is readily available on the islands and that beneficial reuse of

dredged materials will be maximized" (p. G-2). The document, however, does

not evaluate obstacles to using clean dredged materials from the Bay, the

costs of such materials, or the potential for funding partnerships with the

dredging community. The salinity of dredged materials from the Bay has

been cited as a major obstacle to its use in the Delta. We

believe that

there are a variety of strategies which could be available to manage

salinity issues. The document also fails to present or analyze these strategies.

The document does not adequately evaluate the potential benefits of dredged

material reuse in the Delta for habitat restoration. The document states

that dredged material could be used to reverse subsidence and restore tidal

wetlands and other habitats (ERPP, Vol. 1, p. 14, 81 and 286; V. II, p.

59). However the document then dismisses this potential with the statement

that "Restoration efforts should focus on those leveed lands that have not

yet been subjected to severe subsidence" (ERPP, Vol. 1, p. 81).

The document does not evaluate the relative potential value of habitat

restoration in areas where subsidence is a key obstacle (e.g. the central

and western Delta) in comparison with habitat restoration in currently

unsubsided areas. The ERPP could, therefore, be missing a valuable tool to

restore habitat in the Western Delta to provide key fisheries habitat in

dry years, downstream from the major influence of Delta diversions.

Finally, the document indicates that the Department of Water Resources is

one of the most significant landowners in the Western Delta (ERPP, Vol. II,

p. 24). Given that Delta landowners have called for restoration to be

focused on publicly owned lands, dredged material reuse could be an

important tool to increase support for the ERPP.

We recommend that the DEIS/R be revised to evaluate the potential reuse of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

clean dredged materials from the Bay for habitat restoration and levee

maintenance. The document should evaluate the extent to which such reuse

could: make possible particularly valuable habitat restoration;

manage potential salinity impacts from the use of Bay dredged materials; create funding partnership opportunities with Bay dredgers; complement (or compete with) the restoration of Hamilton Field and other beneficial reuse opportunities in the Bay.

LEVEE PROTECTION PLAN

* The Long-Term Levee Protection Plan document Does Not Adequately Explain the Levee Program.

The Levee Program Appendix contains little information regarding the actual program which is proposed to be undertaken. The document does not contain adequate information regarding:

- -- which of the Delta's levees would be repaired, replaced or moved;
- -- the environmental impacts of this program;
- -- the cost-effectiveness of this program;
- -- the trade-offs between restoration and levee maintenance;
- -- how this program would complement, or interfere with, the ERPP; or
- -- the availability of needed borrow or dredged materials (see above).

We recommend that the DEIS/R be revised to provide adequate information regarding these issues.

Barry Nelson
Save San Francisco Bay Association
48 Shattuck Square #16
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 704-8793 (Phone)
(510) 704-0946 (Fax)
www.savesfbay.org